

Working paper series

**Black Lives:
The High Cost of Segregation**

Robynn Cox
Jamein Cunningham
Alberto Ortega
Kenneth Whaley

May 2022

<https://equitablegrowth.org/working-papers/black-lives-the-high-cost-of-segregation/>

© 2022 by Robynn Cox, Jamein Cunningham, Alberto Ortega, and Kenneth Whaley. All rights reserved. Short sections of text, not to exceed two paragraphs, may be quoted without explicit permission provided that full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.

Black Lives: The High Cost of Segregation*

Robynn Cox Jamein P. Cunningham Alberto Ortega Kenneth Whaley

February 7, 2022

Abstract

Exploiting the arrangement of railroad tracks in northern cities, we explore the extent to which segregation impacts homicide victimization by race. Our results reveal a robust positive relationship between segregation and non-white homicide victimization. In addition, we find that the lack of public provisions is likely driving our results, as highly segregated locations generate fewer revenues and have lower public expenditures. Our findings suggest that white flight and segregation deplete the local tax base, leading to urban decay and higher crime, resulting in the loss of non-white lives.

JEL Classification: I31, J15, K42, R23

Keywords: Race, Segregation, Mobility, Homicides

*Cox: Suzanne Dworak-Peck School of Social Work at the University of Southern California. robynnco@usc.edu.
Cunningham: Jeb E. Brooks School of Public Policy at Cornell University. jamein.p.cunningham@cornell.edu. Ortega: O'Neill School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University. alorte@iu.edu. Whaley: Department of Economics at the University of Houston. kdwhaley@uh.edu. We would like to thank Elizabeth Oltmans Ananat for making the data publicly available. We would also like to thank seminar participants at the Health Policy Workshop at Indiana University and the NEA Sessions at the ASSA Annual Meetings, as well as Patrick Bayer, Leonce Ndikumana, and Dan O'Flaherty for comments and advice. Any errors or omissions are ours alone.

1 Introduction

Homicide is the leading cause of death for young Black men in the United States.¹ In 2013, young Black males represented 40 percent of all homicide victims, while young white males accounted for 16 percent. Young Black men and Black Americans, in general, tend to live in more disadvantaged, racially segregated neighborhoods, which restricts their upward mobility (Chetty et al., 2014; Andrews et al., 2017; Bayer et al., 2021) while also exposing them to higher levels of violence (Firebaugh and Acciai, 2016). Although the non-white U.S. population share has grown from 10 percent to roughly 40 percent over the last 70 years, U.S. neighborhoods remain highly segregated. The average Black citizen in a metro area resides in a neighborhood that is more than 50 percent non-white (Glaeser and Vigdor, 2001; Frey, 2018). Massey and Denton (1989) find that Black segregation is unlike that of any other racial or ethnic group; they describe it as *hypersegregation*.

Outside of the South, much of the residential segregation that persists today originated during the first wave of Black migration, peaking at the end of the second wave in 1970 (Cutler et al., 1999; Logan and Parman, 2017). Between 1910 and 1970, approximately 6 million Black Americans migrated to northern and western cities in hopes of better economic opportunities, drastically altering the racial composition of America's largest cities. New migrants sorted into urban neighborhoods established before WWI (Shertzer and Walsh, 2019). Federal policies, local covenants, threats of violence, and white flight trapped northern Blacks in select communities, stymieing the economic progress of native northern Blacks (Boustan, 2010; Derenoncourt, 2021). Ultimately, this social exclusion led to a high concentration of poverty and depletion of the tax base, resulting in lower educational attainment and earnings, as well as higher rates of single motherhood among Black Americans (Cutler and Glaeser, 1997).

In particular, the second wave of Black migration in northern cities led to a reduction in upward mobility for third-generation descendants of these migrants, largely due to changes in environmental factors rather than selection (Derenoncourt, 2021). Communities that experienced an increase in Black migration between 1940 and 1970 faced higher levels of racial segregation (changes in the neighborhoods' racial composition), greater crime rates, greater incarceration

¹We define young as under the age of 45. For statistics, see [CDC – Leading Cause of Deaths for Non-Hispanic Males](#).

rates, and increased expenditures on public safety, resulting in increased contact between the police and Black residents in destination cities ([Derenoncourt, 2021](#)). The strong correlation between Black migration, racial segregation, and higher murder rates post-1970 is consistent with prior research investigating the relationship between racial segregation and violent crime ([Peterson and Krivo, 1993](#); [Massey, 1995](#); [Shihadeh and Maume, 1997](#); [Bjerk, 2006](#)), which finds a positive correlation between the two. However, research has yet to find a causal relationship between segregation and homicide victimization by race.²

In this paper, we explore the degree to which residential segregation influences homicide victimization by race. We focus on homicides because of the significant racial disparities that exist in victimization. [Evans et al. \(2018\)](#) find that the introduction of crack cocaine dramatically increased homicides for younger men, disproportionately affecting younger Black males.³ Yet, homicides were the leading cause of death of young Black males before and after the arrival of crack cocaine. The violence attributed to illegal drug markets was likely exacerbated by pre-determined environmental factors associated with segregation and isolation. Therefore, segregation in and of itself is an important phenomenon plausibly contributing to racial disparities in homicide victimization.

Residential racial segregation not only isolated Black Americans geographically, but also separated them from social and economic opportunities as jobs and resources moved from cities to suburbs. [Andrews et al. \(2017\)](#) find that historical racial segregation is correlated with diminished contemporary economic mobility. Therefore, concentrated poverty and the myriad of problems associated with it, including drugs and crime, followed the residential racial segregation experienced by Black Americans. [Massey \(1995\)](#) purports that it is the interaction between high levels of poverty and residential segregation that has led to high rates of violence and victimization within Black communities. Violence and victimization become endemic to this type of environment as residents adopt violent behavioral responses to deter potential criminals and lower their own risk of victimization ([Massey, 1995](#); [O’Flaherty and Sethi, 2007, 2010](#); [Evans and Kotowski, 2021](#)). In addition, these communities have poor social control of crime due to disruptions in social bonds (e.g., family disruption, joblessness) stemming from poverty and isolation. Moreover, city officials

²The work of [Bjerk \(2006\)](#) is closely related. Two-stage least square results reveal a positive relationship between segregation and robbery and aggravated assaults; they reveal no relationship between segregation and property crime (burglary, larceny, motor vehicle theft). Nonetheless, [Bjerk \(2006\)](#) does not explore murder/manslaughter or victimization, leaving this, as well as explanations for potential mechanisms, an open question.

³Younger refers to those ages 15-24.

and businesses may target racially segregated communities for disinvestment in public and private resources, creating further disadvantages. Such disinvestments could lead to greater crime in segregated communities (if, for example, police are inadequately funded), or decreases in crime, specifically property crime, due to a decrease in the supply of available goods to steal. In this sense, residential segregation not only leads to social disadvantage but may also lower the political efficacy of the isolated group ([Ananat and Washington, 2009](#)).

However, it is not clear who bears the burden of segregation. [Krivo et al. \(2009\)](#) hypothesize that residential segregation not only increases violence within the segregated communities but also increases violence in all neighborhoods in more segregated cities. Whites in highly segregated cities may experience higher rates of violent crime because the lack of shared residential spaces breaks down the inter-group coalitions needed to solve pressing social and institutional problems. Segregation allows for the proliferation of distinct beliefs regarding the nature of social problems, which creates barriers to addressing these challenges. For example, Blacks tend to think Black-white inequality results from structural problems, while whites place more emphasis on behavior ([Krivo et al., 2009](#)). Thus, if these differing views make it politically challenging to implement structural solutions to address community violence, this could lead to greater violence in the city, possibly increasing white victimization. Other studies, however, do not find that the harmful effects of segregation spill over into the broader community. For instance, [Ananat \(2011\)](#) finds that segregation increases Black poverty and inequality while at the same time decreasing white poverty and inequality. There is likely considerable heterogeneity across white communities, resulting in varied responses to segregation. [Chyn et al. \(2021\)](#) find that segregation reduces intergenerational mobility for Black children regardless of their parents' relative income rank, while segregation negatively impacts the economic mobility of white children with parents from the bottom half of the income distribution. Given the literature, the burden of the violence associated with segregation remains unresolved.

We examine the violent consequences of segregation within a causal framework. We focus our analysis on the post-Great Migration period, between 1970 and 2010. During this period Blacks left northern and western cities and returned to the south, reversing migration patterns. Moreover, during this period there was a reversal in crime and prison admission rates. By examining this period, we can rule out general trends in crime-related outcomes as confounding

effects. To deal with endogeneity concerns, we follow [Ananat \(2011\)](#) and exploit the arrangement of railroad tracks in the nineteenth century. Because railroad tracks acted as distinct boundaries for neighborhoods, they represent a specific technology for segregation and a useful instrument for identifying a causal relationship between racial segregation and crime-related outcomes. We confirm that northern cities, partitioned into a relatively greater number of neighborhoods by railroads, experienced higher segregation levels. As in [Ananat \(2011\)](#), we also run a series of falsification tests that indicate that prior to the Great Migration, socio-economic factors did not influence railroad tracks' arrangement within an area.

We use the Vital Statistics Multiple Cause of Death files to measure victimization by race. Our regressions results reveal a strong positive relationship between segregation and non-white homicides. We find no evidence that segregation influences white homicide victimization. For non-white homicides, we identify a relatively large positive relationship post-1970; however, the marginal effect decreases after 1990 but remains statistically significant. We find that in 1990, a one-standard-deviation increase in our segregation measure results in 14 additional non-white deaths due to homicide. Our results hold when we include other contemporary local characteristics such as the share of the population being Black, poverty, inequality, labor market characteristics, and educational attainment.⁴ As a falsification check, we examine the impact of segregation on suicides and find no effect. Suicides can serve as a falsification test, as there are no direct linkages between suicides and segregation, although there are several plausible indirect channels ([Caron, 2021](#); [Xiao et al., 2021](#)).

Our main finding of increased homicides is substantiated with strong evidence of a positive relationship between violent crime and segregation. We find a robust causal relationship between segregation and the murder rate. An important takeaway from our analysis is that general violent crime statistics mask heterogeneity in victimization by race. Due to structural and environmental factors associated with segregation, the brunt of violence is borne by non-white residents. In addition, the marked increase in homicides is driven by city centers, as we find no effect of segregation on murder or violent crime in non-principal cities across MSAs.

Additionally, we explore the impact of segregation on another form of victimization: police-

⁴Descriptive work by [Shihadeh and Maume \(1997\)](#) explores the relationship between segregation and Black homicide victimization, finding a weak but positive relationship. Further analysis reveals that the relationship breaks down when accounting for labor market characteristics, poverty, and educational attainment.

related fatalities. In 2013, the onset of the #BlackLivesMatter social movement, Black males accounted for 26 percent of police-related fatalities, while white males accounted for 37 percent.⁵ Black males are 2 to 3 times more likely to be killed by the police and significantly more likely to be killed while unarmed (Plant and Peruche, 2005; Edwards et al., 2018, 2019). However, it is unclear whether racial disparities in police-related fatalities are driven by factors associated with socio-economic conditions, location, and segregation, or police organization and culture. Several studies have linked police killings to policing activity, police organizational structure, and police culture;⁶ however, we lack evidence on the environmental factors that may contribute to police killings or racial disparities in police-related fatalities.⁷ We attempt to fill this void by exploring the relationship between police killings and segregation. Our results reveal little evidence that segregation influences police-related fatalities of white or non-white civilians post-1970. This is true across various specifications and when we use alternative data sources for police-related fatalities.

We attempt to identify plausible mechanisms through which segregation impacts non-White victimization. First, we find that segregation lowers local government revenue driven by lower property tax revenue which reduces police expenditures per capita in 1990 and 2000. Interestingly, we also find that segregation leads to a shift in funding towards policing. So although less funding is available, the share of funding devoted to public safety is greater in segregated MSAs. However, lower revenues and less overall spending on public safety result in lower levels of police employment per capita. According to our estimates, the inability to adequately employ police officers accounts for approximately 56 percent of non-white homicides attributed to segregation. Moreover, the lack of public safety is reflected in lower arrest rates for violent and property crimes. In addition, segregation also lowers school expenditures. This is important because education has been shown to have sizable crime reducing benefits, even as it relates to murder (Lochner, 2020). Specifically, Weiner et al. (2009) find that court-ordered school desegregation significantly decreases homicide victimization among Black youth by 25 percent. Thus, the de facto segrega-

⁵There is a stark difference when comparing across age groups: police killings of Black males are comprised mostly of young Black males (244 of 282), while young white males are a smaller share of white male victims (275 of 403). See [Mapping Police Violence](#).

⁶A few examples of recent work in this area: Montiel Olea et al. (2021); Cox et al. (2021); Cunningham and Gillezeau (2019); Cunningham et al. (2021); Weisburst (2019); Ba et al. (2021); Holz et al. (2019); Hoekstra and Sloan (2020); Stashko and Garro (2021).

⁷There are several descriptive papers, such as Jacobs (1998), Ross (2015), and Gray and Parker (2020).

tion that occurred as a result of Black migration, led to a decrease in public expenditures in two categories with important crime fighting benefits, policing and education, ultimately leading to increases in non-White homicides.

At the same time, we provide weak evidence that Black prison admissions rates increase and strong evidence that Black imprisonment rates significantly increase. We find no impact on white admissions or imprisonment rates. This finding is in alignment with research finding that prison rates increased due to changes in policies that led to more punitive approaches to less serious offenses and longer state prison sentences ([Raphael and Stoll, 2013](#)), as well as research that ties this desire for a more punitive approach to mainstream societies desire to deal with “inner city” (i.e., Black) crime with incarceration versus social programming (see [Hurwitz and Peffley \(2005\)](#)). It is likely that local actors respond to higher violent crime rates with a more punitive criminal justice system ([Feigenberg and Miller, 2021](#)), reflected by higher rates of Black incarceration. This is important because the cost of more incarceration is paid by the state and not local municipalities.

Our results support prior theoretical and descriptive work finding a positive association between segregation and violent crime ([Peterson and Krivo, 1993](#); [Massey, 1995](#); [Shihadeh and Maume, 1997](#); [Bjerk, 2006](#); [Krivo et al., 2009](#)). However, we find that Black residents bear the burden of the violence associated with segregation, as we find no effect on white victimization. Our work is closely related to [Ananat \(2011\)](#), but we also contribute to the larger literature on the effects of the Great Migration. In addition, our work complements previous studies on the impact of segregation on mobility and inequality. Our results highlight that segregation significantly influences the number of Black males contributing to greater society, as they are likely the victims of violent crime and a more punitive criminal justice system ([Wolfers et al., 2015](#)). Lack of Black males impacts family structures and, therefore, intergenerational mobility—resulting in long-lasting and persistent inequality in Black communities.

Our findings also suggest that some of the structural and environmental factors that influence homicides may not affect other forms of victimization, such as police killings. Therefore, policy recommendations that address segregation and upward mobility may impact violent crime and homicides but plausibly have a negligible effect on police-related fatalities. Similarly, police reform may reduce racial disparities in police killings but have little effect on racial differences

in homicide rates.⁸ Therefore, separate targeted policies should be implemented to address racial disparities in homicides and police-related fatalities. Lastly, our study illustrates the high cost of segregation and structural racism, where minorities are locked out of opportunity and receive sub-par funding for public amenities, paying for these disadvantages with their lives.

2 Data

We use several data sources to examine the impact of segregation on victimization. We focus our analysis on homicides by implementing a two-stage-least-squares (2SLS) strategy similar to [Ananat \(2011\)](#), though we examine the effects of segregation over several census years, 1970-2010. In addition, we complement our analysis by examining changes in local government finances, particularly public safety and education expenditures, as possible mechanisms for our main findings. Finally, we explore changes in crime and incarceration. Our analysis will focus on northern MSAs identified in [Ananat and Washington \(2009\)](#) and [Ananat \(2011\)](#). Due to the fact that many of our outcomes are not reported consistently over time, we create two samples. The first sample, the county sample, constructs outcome variables of interest from county-level observations aggregated up to the MSA. The second sample focuses on the central city (principal city) of the MSA. We have complete and consistent reporting over time for our primary outcome interest, homicide victimization; this is not the case for other outcomes, which we will discuss below.

2.1 Dissimilarity Index

Our main independent variable of interest is residential segregation. To measure segregation in each census year, we use the commonly employed index of dissimilarity ([Duncan and Duncan, 1955](#); [Cutler and Glaeser, 1997](#)). The dissimilarity index captures the degree to which Blacks are located in certain census tracts relative to whites within an MSA. The index provides the share of the Black population that would need to move to other census tracts to be fully integrated within a city or MSA. Thus, we can capture relative separation or integration across all neighborhoods within the city or metropolitan area in our data.⁹ The following equation constructs our measure of segregation:

⁸[Cunningham and Gillezeau \(2019\)](#), [Cunningham et al. \(2021\)](#), and [Cox et al. \(2021\)](#) provide examples of policies and events that influence police-related fatalities while having little to no effect on crime and homicide victimization.

⁹For additional explanation and examples, see <https://www.censusscope.org/about.dissimilarity>

$$\text{Index of dissimilarity} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\text{Black}_i}{\text{Black}_{total}} - \frac{\text{non-Black}_i}{\text{non-Black}_{total}} \right| \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where i represents a census tract in an area. We follow [Ananat and Washington \(2009\)](#) to create our measure of segregation by constructing an index of dissimilarity using census counts from the Census of Population and Housing for 1970, 1980, 2000, and 2010. For 1990, we use the index of dissimilarity from [Cutler et al. \(1999\)](#). From census data, we create the index based on counties that belong to each MSA for locations outside of New England. City and towns, rather than counties, identify MSAs in New England. Therefore, we calculate the index of dissimilarity using census tracts in the cities or towns that belong to a particular MSA in New England. In addition, we impute measurements of segregation for MSAs with insufficient or missing census tract data.¹⁰ As documented by [Ananat and Washington \(2009\)](#), not every county or location had defined census tracts before 1990. Therefore, we use a predicted dissimilarity index value using segregation levels in 1990, change in the Black population, poverty rates, graduations rates, and employment rates as independent variables.

Table 1 reports summary statistics from our final samples. The index of dissimilarity reported in row 1 is from the county sample, and row 2 is from the central city sample. For the county sample, we are comforted by the fact that our index of dissimilarity closely matches [Ananat and Washington \(2009\)](#) in the census years that overlap. The average for the index of dissimilarity is roughly .564, with a standard deviation of .166. An important point to note is that segregation has decreased significantly over the last 50 years. In our sample, the least segregated MSA in 1970 was Brockton, MA (index of .393), while the most segregated was Oklahoma City, OK (index of .987). In turn, during the last year of our sample, 2010, the most segregated was Detroit, MI (index of .736), while the least segregated remained Brockton, MA (index of .142). An example of an MSA with an average level of segregation in 1970 is Lorain, OH, and in 2010 Portland, OR. By 2010, the dissimilarity index was 39 percent lower than in 1970, the end of the second wave of the Great Migration.

¹⁰It is important to note that we use the 1990 definition of MSAs in each census year to construct our measure of segregation.

2.2 Victimization

To construct victimization rates between 1970 and 2010, we use mortality information from the Vital Statistics Multiple Cause of Death Files (US DHHS and ICPSR 2007). The Vital Statistics files are publicly available at the ICPSR from 1959 to 1988. We obtain the restricted detail mortality files for 1989 to 2016 directly from the Center for Disease Control and Intervention National Vital Statistics System (NVSS).

2.2.1 Homicides

We construct measures of victimization—homicides by race (deaths per 1,000 civilians).¹¹ Appendix Figure A2 plots the U.S. fraction of homicides between 1970 and 2010 for white and non-white individuals, respectively. Homicides are reported per 100,000 individuals in each racial group. The figure shows that the homicide trends are similar over time. However, note that the axes differ, with non-white homicides occurring at a much higher rate as a proportion to their population, regularly five times or higher during this period. We can also see this trend in the summary statistics in Table 1, which reports the share of homicides by each census year used in our analysis. In 1970, the number of non-white homicides per 100,00 non-white residents was .22, which is seven times that of white residents. The number of homicides for both groups reached its peak around 1980. However, since then, the number of homicides for both groups has decreased substantially over time; non-white homicides in 2010 were .12 per 100,000 non-white residents. Still, there is a disparity in this number, with the rate of non-white homicides being four times larger in 2010.

2.2.2 Suicides and Police-Related Fatalities

We consider the role segregation plays in suicides by race (deaths per 1,000 civilians). As with the homicide death data, we collect information on suicides from the NVSS. We identify the relevant ICD codes to construct the number of suicides for whites and non-whites in each county between 1970 and 2010.¹² We also consider an additional measure of victimization—police-related

¹¹Population counts by race and year are available after 1967 from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEERs).

¹²For the years 2000 and 2010, we use the following ICD-10 codes to classify a death by suicide: X60-X84, Y870, U030. For the years 1980 and 1990, we use the following ICD-9 codes: E950-E959. Finally, for the year 1970, we use the following ICD-8 codes: E950-E959.

fatalities (deaths per 100,000 civilians). However, we acknowledge that the Vital Statistics and other government sources of police-related fatalities report roughly half of the deaths recorded in non-governmental sources (Barber et al., 2016; Krieger et al., 2015). Therefore, we supplement our analysis with the Fatal Encounters data.¹³ Although Vital Statistics data is an under-count of police killings, national time-series strongly correlate with Fatal Encounters.¹⁴

2.3 Crime, Police Contact, and Imprisonment

Data on other crime-related outcomes come from the UCR “Offenses Known and Clearance by Arrest”. The data on crime includes monthly information on the number of unfounded offenses, actual offenses, offenses cleared by arrest, and offenses cleared involving individuals under 18. We focus on the number of violent offenses (murder and manslaughter, rape, robbery, and assault) reported and the number of homicides reported (murder and manslaughter). In addition, we obtain the number of arrests by offense from the UCR’s “Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race” files, which we use to construct arrest rates by race for violent and property crime and drug-related offenses. Due to the infrequent reporting of crime data, we focus our analysis on the principal city in the sample. Many local municipalities fail to produce a consistent series of crime data over time. We overcome missing data using crime counts from the most recent reporting year within five years of a census year. As a result, we have crime statistics for each principal city in every census year or close to every census year; this is not true for every city in an MSA. For robustness, we compare our results when we include cities outside the MSA in our sample as well as when we only use non-principal cities in the MSA.

As shown in Table 1, both total crime and arrests by race increased until the 1990s and then fell dramatically. Between 1990 and 2010, crime decreased by 38 percent. However, the violent crime rate remains relatively flat after 1990. The violent crime rate in our sample dropped by 3 percent after 1990, but the murder rate declined by 18 percent. Similar to crime, we see an increase and then a decrease in arrest rates over time. Since the 1990s, the Black arrest rate fell by over 54 percent. Significant drops in arrests occur for both violent and property crimes (larceny burglary,

¹³Fatal Encounters data is publicly available at fatalecounters.org.

¹⁴Appendix Figure A3 plots the average number of police-related fatalities in a county between 2000 and 2016, the years that are available for both the Vital Statistics files and Fatal Encounters. We restrict the Fatal Encounter data to fatalities when any police force is reported. It is clear that the Vital Statistics data is consistently half of the Fatal Encounter series, but they follow very similar trends over time.

and motor vehicle theft) as well as for drug sales. White arrests also decrease over time, but the decline is much smaller (14 percent) and is driven by property crime arrests.

Information on prison admission and population by race comes from the Vera Institute of Justice. We focus on the county's contribution to the state prison population. The Vera Institute of Justice compiles county counts of individuals sentenced to state prison. The primary source for county prison information is the Bureau of Justice Statistics National Corrections Reporting Program (NCRP), which began in 1983.¹⁵ We primarily focus on prison admission and the prison population. It is important to note that the prison custody population is not available until 1999. The Vera Institute report estimates the prison population from 1983 to 1998. The calculations are based on prison admissions and release information by year and county. Unfortunately, NCRP does not provide admission and release information for the same person. The Vera Institute goes through great effort to check the validity of prison and jail records.¹⁶

We use prison data to construct race-specific admission rates (admissions per 1,000 civilians) and imprisonment rates (number of individuals in prison per 1,000 civilians). In our sample, both the imprisonment and admission rates peaked in 2000, after the crime drop. By 2010, imprisonment and admission rates decrease for Black civilians but not for whites.

2.4 Local Government Finances and Public Safety

We consider various local finance outcomes as potential mechanisms for any effects resulting from segregation. Data on local government operations, revenue, and expenditures are from the Census of Governments and the Annual Survey of Governments. These sources provide employment counts, which we use to supplement the police employment analysis as well as revenues and expenditures across various categories. We focus our analysis of government finances on total revenue, property tax revenue, and public safety expenditures (police and fire). Total revenue and expenditure values are reported per capita (in the year 2000 dollars). Table 1 shows that over the time of our sample, average MSA spending on police more than doubles from \$88 per person to \$224 per person. There is also a large increase in fire safety expenditure and a more than 30 percent increase in property tax revenue. To complement our analysis on public safety expenditures, we construct police employment rates (police per 1,000 residents) using employment counts from

¹⁵Participation in the NCRP is voluntary and therefore does not contain information for every state or every year.

¹⁶For more information, see [Kang-Brown et al. \(2018\)](#) and [Hinds et al. \(2018\)](#).

the Uniform Crime Reporting's (UCR) Law Enforcement Officers Killed and Assaulted (LEOKA) files. As with police expenditures, the number of officers increases over time. The number of sworn police officers per 1,000 residents increases by 28 percent between 1970 and 2010.

Given that school segregation and school spending has been associated with improvements in educational attainment [Jackson \(2020\)](#); [Johnson \(2011\)](#); [Ananat and Washington \(2009\)](#), and school desegregation and education have been shown to reduce violent crime ([Lochner, 2020](#); [Weiner et al., 2009](#)) we also consider the effects of segregation on public school expenditures. We supplement our analysis with school district data from the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) national school finance survey.¹⁷

2.5 Final Sample

Our analysis considers two primary samples; the first sample includes 99 MSAs constructed from county-level observation plus 11 counties from New England. MSAs from New England are comprised of towns that are distributed regionally across multiple counties. We take a weighted average across counties to produce a measure of segregation for the New England counties. The Appendix includes an analysis that compares the results when we restrict our sample to non-New England counties (see Appendix Figure [B9](#)). Our results are robust to the exclusion of New England from our sample. The second sample focuses on crime-related outcomes from the principal city of 121 MSAs. Given the voluntary nature of UCR reporting, many of the cities within an MSA do not consistently report over time. As a specification check, we conduct an additional analysis including surrounding cities within an MSA. The inclusion of these cities does not change the main findings but decreases the precision of our estimates. These results are also available in the Appendix (see Appendix Figure [B6](#) and Appendix Figure [B7](#)).

The summary statistics in Table [1](#) show that segregation decreases over time. The decrease in segregation occurs in the most and least segregated locations. Appendix Figure [A1](#) shows that segregation decreases over time through the entire distribution. Therefore, we can rule out changes in segregation in any one location driving the analysis. Similarly, we see a decline in non-white homicide victimization rates. The decrease in homicides, however, does not follow changes in crime rates. As noted in literature from criminology and sociology, crime rose through

¹⁷There are roughly 2,500 districts that we can map to the list of MSAs in our sample. We compute measures for median per-pupil spending for the median school district in an MSA.

the early 1990s and then decreased. By 2010, crime rates were slightly higher than in 1970, but lower property crime rates drive the decrease in crime from the 1990 peak. Relatedly, we see a rise in admissions and imprisonment rates until the year 2000 and then they decrease.

These trends in crime-related outcomes are important for our analysis. Segregation and crime are co-determined. However, we see very different trends in the two series. Segregation mainly decreases due to a decline in overt discrimination, a rise of civil rights litigation in employment and housing, and Black flight as integration expands neighborhood choices ([Cutler et al., 1999](#)). However, crime and imprisonment rates increase until the 1990s, and decarceration begins in the late 2000s. Our sample years also capture various federal interventions into local policing. We see an increase in drug arrests for both Black and white residents in the 1990s. The low rates of drug arrests in 1980 reflect the lack of emphasis on drug-related crimes by local police agencies prior to the Reagan Administration escalation of the War on Drugs ([Cox and Cunningham, 2021](#)). We also capture changes in sentencing guidelines and more policing in urban communities through the Violent Crime Control and Safe Streets Act (VCCA) of 1994 and the Community Oriented Policing Servicing (COPS) grants. Although crime and homicide rates decrease during this period, the size of police departments is more prominent than in pre-VCCA years, reflected in increasing expenditures devoted toward public safety over time. In 1970, roughly 10 percent of expenditures are devoted to policing; and by 2000 the share increases to 12 percent.

Figure 1 highlights the relationship between segregation and our primary outcome of interest, homicide victimization rates. Panels (a) and (b) plot 1990 homicide rates by race against segregation (measured by the index of dissimilarity in 1990). It is clear that non-white homicide rates are positively correlated with segregation, but there is no relationship with white victimization rates. Panels (c) and (d) show a similar relationship for violent crime and murder, respectively. As the index of dissimilarity increases, violent crime and murder rates also increase. Nonetheless, Appendix Figure B1 shows a decrease in non-white homicides over time across the entire distribution of the index of dissimilarity. While the top and bottom quartiles experience decreases in non-white homicides, white homicides show little movement over time.

Although segregation decreases over time, the relationship between non-white homicides and segregation is quite robust. Appendix Figure B2 plots the relationship between segregation and homicides by decile and over time. In each census year, higher levels of segregation are associ-

ated with higher levels of non-white homicides. This provides suggestive evidence of a consistent positive relationship between segregation and non-white homicides. However, this relationship does not exist for white homicides. In many cases, higher deciles of segregation are associated with lower levels of white homicide. As stated before, segregation and crime-related outcomes are co-determined, and therefore descriptive analyses cannot identify a causal relationship. It is possible that homicides drive white flight and increase measures of segregation. White flight also depletes the local tax base and funding for public safety, resulting in higher homicide and violent crime rates for non-white residents.

3 Methodology

To examine the effect of residential segregation on homicides and other crime-related outcomes, we follow [Ananat \(2011\)](#) and use MSA railroad configurations to capture exogenous variation in the dissimilarity index. Below we describe the instrumental variable, along with our empirical strategy.

3.1 Railroad Division Index

To address the endogeneity concerns, we follow [Ananat \(2011\)](#) and use the configuration of railroad tracks as an instrumental variable. The configuration of railroad tracks within a city or MSA provides distinct geographical markers or boundaries that can be used to define neighborhoods. These distinct boundaries create more easily identified neighborhoods: they act as physical markers for segregated communities. From city maps, [Ananat \(2011\)](#) constructs the railroad division index (RDI), akin to a Herfindahl index, to exploit the configuration of railroad tracks that create subdivisions within a given location. The RDI is defined as:

$$\text{RDI} = 1 - \sum_i \left(\frac{\text{Area}_i}{\text{Area}_{total}} \right)^2 \quad (2)$$

where i defines a neighborhood created by railroad track configurations and Area_{total} is the total area of the city. As the number of neighborhoods created by railroad tracks increases, the RDI gets closer to one. Conversely, if a city has no divisions and a single neighborhood comprises the entire city area, the RDI would be zero. For RDI to serve as a valid instrument, it cannot be co-determined or correlated with unobserved propensities of homicide victimization post-Great

Migration. The majority of railroad tracks were laid prior to WW1; therefore, they are not historically determined with post-migration outcomes. Ananat (2011) shows that RDI is not correlated with pre-Great Migration city characteristics. In addition, in panel (e) of Figure 1, we show that there is no relationship between RDI and 1910 homicide rates.¹⁸ Panel (f) plots the relationship between RDI and 1990 segregation levels. RDI is highly correlated with post-Great Migration segregation. Put another way, we confirm that the additional neighborhoods created by railroads are strongly correlated with the dissimilarity index (i.e., segregation).¹⁹

3.2 Two-Stage Least Squares

We start with the following naive ordinary least squares (OLS) model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 D_i + \beta_2 X_i + \eta_i \quad (3)$$

where Y_i is the outcome variable of interest in MSA i . The independent variable of interest, D_i , is the index of dissimilarity. The vector X_i includes the total length of railroad tracks in MSA i . Additional specification checks will control for percent of the population being Black, manufacturing share, educational attainment, and measures of well-being (inequality and poverty). Our main specification includes minimal controls, because segregation is co-determined and influences many of the control variables of interest. For example, a high degree of segregation is a consequence of white flight and Black migration patterns. Therefore, controlling for percent of Black population will understate the impact of segregation on crime-related outcomes. Thus, our main specification focuses only on segregation, and then we supplement our analysis by including additional covariates (See Table 3).

Given that omitted MSA characteristics can confound our measure of segregation, we implement a two-stage least squares approach to overcome this endogeneity concern. We estimate the following first-stage regression:

$$D_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 RDI_i + \alpha_2 X_i + \varepsilon_i \quad (4)$$

¹⁸Data comes from Table 4 of the 1910 Vital Statistics, which is made available by the CDC. Violent deaths by race is only available for a handful of cities in 1910.

¹⁹In panel (d) of Figure B1 and panels (k)-(o) of Figure B2, we show that the relationship between segregation and RDI is robust over time.

where RDI_i allows us to capture and use exogenous variation in the dissimilarity index independent of any confounding effects. Table 2 shows the first-stage estimates for the relationship between RDI, segregation, and 1910 homicide rates. RDI is positively associated with the index of dissimilarity and has no relationship with 1910 homicide rates. The F-statistic for the first-stage regression is 13.46, larger than the traditional threshold of 10. The estimation of equation (4) provides exogenous predictions, \widehat{RDI}_i , that can be used in the second stage

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \widehat{D}_i + \beta_2 X_i + \eta_i. \quad (5)$$

Appendix Table C1 reproduces the Ananat (2011) falsification tests, where we find no effect of RDI on a host of 1910 and 1920 characteristics. These include demographics and measures of economic well-being such as population, ethnic dissimilarity, Black population, literacy rates, and labor force participation.

4 Results

We follow Ananat and Washington (2009) and show our results from equation (5) by decade, 1970–2010. We standardize our regression results for easy comparison across years and outcomes; therefore, our estimates are reported in standard deviations. Panel (a) of Figure 2 reports our first-stage estimates by year. The solid circle marker reports our estimate of α_1 , and the line with an open circle reports the 95 percent confidence intervals constructed from heteroskedastic robust standard errors. Similar to Ananat and Washington (2009), RDI has a strong and positive relationship with the index of dissimilarity over multiple census years. The relationship was the weakest in 1970, but the point estimates increase over time. The weaker relationship in 1970 is likely a byproduct of estimating the index of dissimilarity for several MSAs due to incomplete or missing census tract identifiers. Ananat and Washington (2009) also report a smaller relationship between the index of dissimilarity and segregation in 1970.

4.1 Segregation and Victimization

We focus on homicide victimization due to the fact that traditional violent crime and murder rates mask the heterogeneity in victimization by race. As highlighted previously, segregation has been linked to violent crime (Peterson and Krivo, 1993; Massey, 1995; Shihadeh and Maume, 1997;

Bjerk, 2006). However, it is not clear who actually bears the cost. It is possible that segregation only impacts Black residents. Ananat (2011) finds that white inequality and poverty rates decrease with segregation, while Black inequality and poverty rates are positively correlated with segregation. Relatedly, Derenoncourt (2021) uncovers a positive relationship between murder and the Great Migration. However, it is unclear if this relationship exists due to migration patterns, segregation, or racial animus in response to new arrivals.

Panel (b) of Figure 2 shows the effect of segregation on victimization rates by race. For whites, there is no effect of segregation on homicide victimization rates: the coefficients are near zero and statistically insignificant. This is not surprising, considering the mixed evidence in the literature. For instance, using the National Neighborhood Crime Survey, Krivo et al. (2009) finds a positive relationship between segregation and violent crimes in white neighborhoods. However, Light and Thomas (2019) uncovers a negative relationship using a weighted least squares model with fixed effects.²⁰ Using OLS, we find that segregation and white victimization is statistically significant and positively correlated in 1970 and negatively correlated in 1990 and 2010 (see Appendix Table C3). However, 2SLS estimates are statistically indistinguishable from zero in every census year and negative in 4 out of 5 census years.

For non-whites, we see a relatively large and statistically significant effect of segregation and homicides in 1970. The coefficients remain large and statistically significant but eventually decrease after 1990. Nonetheless, in every census year, the estimated impact of segregation on non-white victimization is positive and statistically significant. Moreover, 2SLS estimates are larger than OLS estimates (see Appendix Table C2) implying that previous descriptive work on the impact of segregation on Black homicides underestimated the true effect. Using the coefficient in 1990, we find that a one-standard-deviation increase (.137) in the dissimilarity index increases non-white homicides by .97 standard deviations, or 88 percent. In terms of actual lives, a one-standard-deviation decrease in the dissimilarity index would save 14 non-white lives; if an MSA moved from the top quartile (.69) to the bottom quartile (.46) of the index of dissimilarity, 23 non-white homicides would have been averted.

²⁰Light and Thomas (2019) followed Cutler and Glaeser (1997) and used the number of municipal governments and the share of local revenue as instruments in a robustness check for their finding of decreased white victimization. They did not do this for Black victimization. Also, the relationship is only statistically significant when using population weights, although point estimates are similar.

Appendix Figure B3 plots the impact of segregation on the racial difference in homicides. We follow Cox and Cunningham (2021) and stack the data so that each MSA is characterized by their white or non-white homicide rates. We then regress homicides on the level of segregation and an interaction term that captures the impact of segregation on racial differences in homicides.²¹ As expected, segregation increases the racial gap in homicides. However, the relationship weakens over time as both homicides and segregation decrease. Yet, the estimates are positive and statistically significant in every census year. Moreover, the change in the racial gap in homicides reflects the estimated effects from panel (b) of Figure 2, as the impact of segregation on non-whites decreases over time.

The size of our 2SLS estimates implies a relatively high cost of segregation for non-white residents. As an additional check on the validity of our estimates, we examine the impact of segregation on suicide deaths. We do this because there are racial differences in suicide rates; white suicide rates are higher than non-white rates. In our analysis, suicides can serve as a falsification test, as there are no direct linkages between suicides and segregation. However, one can fathom that economic deprivation and increased homicides can have deleterious effects on mental health and lead to higher suicide rates. Ananat (2011) finds that segregation decreases poverty and income inequality for whites but increases poverty and inequality for Blacks. In this case, it would be possible that suicides are positively related to segregation for non-whites and negatively related to segregation for whites. In Figure B4 of the Appendix, we find no relationship between segregation and suicides for non-white civilians; this is not surprising given that suicide rates are lower among Blacks relative to whites.

In Table 3, we test the robustness of our results in panel (b) of Figure 2. We follow Ananat (2011) and control for local characteristics that are correlates of urban decay and crime. We first examine how the racial composition of the population influences our results. Our IV is able to disentangle the effects of segregation from migration patterns; *all things equal*, sorting in northern MSAs will vary by pre-Migration configuration of neighborhoods, defined by the placement of railroad tracks. Appendix Figure B5 shows that segregation is positively related to the share of the population being Black. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that our IV is positively corre-

²¹To estimate the racial differential in homicide, we estimate the following equation: $Y_{i,r} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Black_r + \beta_1 \widehat{D}_i + \beta_1 (D_i \times Black_r) + \beta_2 X_{i,r} + \eta_i$. The binary variable, $Black_r$, is equal to one when referencing non-white homicides and zero for white homicides. We instrument for D_i using the RDI_i and $(D_i \times Black_r)$ with $RDI_i \times Black_r$.

lated with the share of the population being Black, which is the case in panel (b). However, this relationship functions entirely through segregation. The relationship between our IV and Black population shares goes away when we account for the index of dissimilarity (Appendix Table C4). However, the relationship between our IV and segregation still persists when we account for Black population share (Appendix Table C4). The second row in Table 3 shows that our results are robust to controlling for the total population and the non-white population share. In addition, our results are robust to accounting for local educational attainment and labor force participation. Lastly, we check to see if our results for non-white homicides are driven by poverty rates or inequality. We find no evidence that the inclusion of these measures of well-being impact our results.²²

With regards to other measures of victimization, panel (c) of Figure 2 reports 2SLS results for the impact of segregation on violent crime and murder per 1,000 residents. For both violent crime and murder, the coefficients for each decade are positive. The relationship between segregation and victimization is stronger for murder than for violent crime, which includes murder, rape, robbery, and assaults. According to the point estimate in 1990, if our measure of segregation increases by one standard deviation, violent crime increases by .436 standard deviations, or about eight violent crimes per 1,000 residents. Our sample's average number of violent crimes in 1990 was 27 per 1,000 residents, implying a 30 percent increase in violent crime. Our result implies that going from the top segregation quartile (.67) to the bottom quartile (.46) decreases violent crime by roughly 44 percent, averting 12 violent crimes per 1,000 residents.

The impact of segregation on violent crime, although positive, is statistically significant (95% CI) in 1990 and 2010; it is marginally statistically significant in 2000. In contrast, the relationship between segregation and murder is positive and statistically significant in 1980, 2000, and 2010; it is marginally statistically significant in 1990. According to our estimates for 1990, a standard-deviation increase in segregation increases the murder rate by .41 standard deviations or .045 murders per 1,000 residents (roughly 41 percent).

Appendix Figure B7 replicates the analysis presented in panel (c) of Figure 2 when we include all cities in a given MSA that report crimes in the census year (or a year close to the census year).²³

²²Our results bolsters Peterson and Krivo (1993), providing further evidence that residential segregation is the most important explanatory variable for understanding Black homicides.

²³Due to inconsistent reporting, many local municipalities fail to produce a consistent series of crime data over time. We overcome missing data using crime counts from the most recent reporting year within five years of a census year.

For violent crime, point estimates when using all cities in an MSA are positive but not statistically significant in any census year. However, when we focus on the relationship between the murder rate and segregation, we find a robust, statistically significant relationship. For the principal city analysis, the coefficient for segregation is positive and statistically significant at the 90 percent or 95 percent confidence interval after 1970, while estimates are marginally statistically significant in every census year for the full MSA analysis. This is likely due to the high concentration of homicides in Black communities.

Panel (d) of Figure 2 examines the impact of segregation on police killings of civilians by race. There is little to no effect of segregation on police killings of white civilians—point estimates are close to zero in every census year except for 2010 and never statistically significant. For Black deaths, the coefficients are positive, except in 1970, but only statistically significant in 1980. Given the rarity of police killings of civilians in any given location, it is not surprising that we find weak evidence that segregation influences police killings of civilians.

We test several specifications for the impact of segregation on police killings of civilians in Appendix Table C5. Using counts of non-white deaths instead of mortality rates produces positive but statistically insignificant coefficients. Also, we find no evidence that segregation influences police killings when using data from Fatal Encounters. It is important to note that segregation is positively correlated with police killings and that the coefficients are statistically significant when not accounting for endogeneity. Given these results, we find little evidence that segregation influences police killings of civilians and that not accounting for endogeneity could lead to erroneous conclusions.²⁴

4.2 Mechanisms, Local Revenues, and Expenditures

We find a positive and robust causal relationship between crime and non-white homicide rates. These findings align with [Ananat \(2011\)](#), which links segregation to lower educational attainment and higher poverty rates for Black residents. Relatedly, [Cutler and Glaeser \(1997\)](#) link segregation to lower earnings and higher rates of single motherhood. [Derenoncourt \(2021\)](#) shows that local responses to the influx of Black migrants and persistent segregation limited economic mobility for Black residents in northern cities. Lastly, [Boustan \(2010\)](#) reports lower property values

²⁴Due to heterogeneity in reporting across jurisdiction, it is generally not recommended to conduct cross-location analysis using Vital Statistics data on police-related fatalities. See [Loftin et al. \(2003\)](#).

in northern cities that experienced Black migration and white flight. Lower income and property values should result in less revenue and, therefore, fewer resources or public goods. However, [Alesina et al. \(1999\)](#) find that diverse cities and metros spend more on police but less on fire, education, and sanitation.

Similarly, [Derenoncourt \(2021\)](#) finds higher levels of policing in northern cities that received Black migrants. The lack of public provisions outside police may reflect different preferences in using resources across demographic groups or the inability to coordinate the use of public goods effectively ([Ananat and Washington, 2009](#); [Alesina et al., 1999](#)). In conjunction with limited resources, this coordination problem could explain the high rates of homicides for non-white residents. However, it is not clear that segregation should lead to fewer resources (defined by government expenditures). [Alesina et al. \(1999\)](#) find evidence that diverse communities spend more per resident than more homogeneous populations, and [Ananat \(2011\)](#) links segregation to higher inequality. Conversely, [Boustan et al. \(2013\)](#) link inequality to higher levels of expenditure per capita on police and fire safety. Furthermore, [Tabellini \(2020\)](#) finds that the first wave of the Great Migration had little impact on the share of spending devoted toward policing, education, sanitation, and fire, despite decreases in public spending and tax revenues.

We explore how government finances are influenced by segregation in Figure 3. Panel (a) focuses on revenue per capita, while panel (b) reports the impact of segregation on public safety expenditures. According to our results, segregation is negatively related to general revenue and property tax revenue per capita. Lower general revenue is consistent with lower housing values found in [Boustan \(2010\)](#). When accounting for endogeneity, [Boustan \(2010\)](#) finds that the value of owner-occupied homes decreased between 1950-1970 and attributes the decline in property value to white flight as Blacks often paid more for housing units ([Cutler et al., 1999](#)) and migrated to locations with high labor demand and housing costs. However, according to our results, by 1970, highly segregated cities generated less revenue per capita, and the effects of segregation are stable across census years. According to panel (a), in 1990 a one-standard-deviation increase in the dissimilarity index decreases general revenues by \$655 per resident or .86 standard deviations. Half of the decrease in general revenue is due to lack of revenue from property taxes. A one-standard-deviation increase in segregation decreases property tax revenue by \$272 to \$370 per resident between 1970 and 2010.

Lower government revenues suggest that a likely mechanism driving our results is lack of resources, in particular lack of the public goods that deter crime such as public safety and education expenditures. Panel (b) highlights that segregation is also negatively related to police expenditures and fire safety spending per capita. Initially, there is little to no difference in spending on police associated with segregation. Still, by 1990 and 2000, there exists a robust negative relationship between the dissimilarity index and public safety expenditures.²⁵ According to our 1990 estimate, a one-standard-deviation increase in the dissimilarity index decreases spending on police by .64 standard deviations or \$66 per resident. We find a similar relationship between fire safety and segregation. Less spending on public safety suggests that higher homicide rates are driven by a lack of the resources that deter violent crime.

Chalfin et al. (2020) find that more resourced cities benefit from additional police. According to their finding, a one-percent increase in law enforcement reduces Black homicides by 1.1 to 2.5 percent. Panel (c) of Appendix Figure B7 shows that segregation is negatively correlated with police department size. We find that in 1990, a one-standard-deviation increase in segregation decreases the number of police by .39 standard deviations or .41 per 1,000 residents. Our estimates imply that a one-percent decrease in the dissimilarity index correlates with a .8 percent increase in police per 1,000 residents. Using estimates from Chalfin et al. (2020), this would lead to a .9 to 2 percent decrease in non-white homicides. Comparing this estimate to the results in panel (b) of Figure 2, the lack of investment in policing explains approximately 56% of non-white homicides attributed to segregation.

Although we find significant decreases in police expenditures per capita, these decreases are only statistically significant in two of the five census years we examine. The evidence is much stronger, however, for police presence. Segregated MSAs have fewer officers. Point estimates are negative in every census year we examine, and statistically significant in three of the five census years. Even so, according to Appendix Figure B8, segregation is positively correlated with the share of expenditures devoted to policing. Therefore, segregated cities with less revenue increase their share of spending on public safety. This is counter to Tabellini (2020) finding that the first wave of the Great Migration did not cause a change in spending shares toward policing. Instead,

²⁵Although the relationship is not statistically significant in 2010, when we include all cities in the MSA, we find a marginally statistically relationship between police expenditures and segregation (see Appendix Figure B7d).

our result aligns with the finding in [Alesina et al. \(1999\)](#) that more diverse cities spend more (as a share) on public safety. But even though segregated cities reallocate funding toward police, they cannot overcome losses in property tax revenue to adequately provide police and fire safety. Moreover, segregated cities disinvest in other public goods in order to provide additional public safety, which may lead to additional increases in crime, therefore, putting additional strain on public safety.

Moreover, residential segregation across the broader housing market is more responsible for school segregation than sorting across schools within a district ([Reardon, 2016](#)). In Appendix Table C6, we find a negative relationship between segregation and per-pupil spending. Given that education reduces violent crime and murder ([Lochner, 2020](#); [Weiner et al., 2009](#)), this represents another mechanism through which segregation’s effect on public expenditures can lead to increases in non-white homicides. Furthermore, the lack of spending on education negatively affects social upward mobility, leading to persistent, long-lasting inequality in Black communities. The lack of public provisions likely has an ongoing circular effect, as migration of Blacks into cities lowered the demand of housing by whites leading to white flight and disinvestment in these areas by both private and public sectors, leading to poor provision of public goods, further lowering the demand for housing and decreasing property tax revenue, which in turn leads to still less provision of public goods and more crime, and the need to further focus on policing.

4.3 Additional Outcomes: Arrests and Imprisonment

To complement our crime-related outcomes, we also examine the effect of segregation on arrests. Figure 4 plots the point estimates for arrests by type of crime. Panel (a) shows the results for violent crime arrests. The effect is negative for each census year for both white and Black arrests, with the largest effect in 1990. We also see statistically significant decreases in violent crime arrests in 1980 and 2010 (for whites). For example, a one-standard-deviation increase in the dissimilarity index decreases violent crime arrests by .56 standard deviations or 1.7 arrests per 1,000 residents for white residents and .68 standard deviations or six arrests per 1,000 residents for Black residents. Panel (b) of Figure 4 presents the results for property crime arrests. Here, we see a similar decrease across all census years for both white and Black arrests, though the only statistically significant effect is for Black drug arrests in 1980. Panel (c) plots the RDI estimates when drug

possession arrests are the outcome. Here, the results are similar to the violent crime findings; each census year has a negative effect, with statistically significant decreases for white and Black arrests in 1980 and 1990. Lastly, panel (d) reports the results for drug sale arrests. Although none of the point estimates are statistically significant, starting in 1990 all point estimates are negative. Together, the arrest results coincide with the previous findings on crime and public expenditures for community protection. Fewer resources result in additional crimes ([Chalfin et al., 2020](#)) and fewer arrests. Figure 3 indicates that increased residential segregation decreases police expenditures, and Figure B7 suggests a decrease in the number of officers. Together, these constraints may inhibit highly segregated areas from deterring violent crime and arresting perpetrators.

To complement our arrest analysis, we also examine whether segregation affects criminal justice-related outcomes. Figure 5 panel (a) plots the point estimates for admissions rates, and panel (b) plots imprisonment rates. Both figures present results by race. The results indicate no effect of segregation on admission rates. However, we do see a disparate impact on imprisonment rates. For each census year between 1980 and 2010, we see an increase in Black imprisonment rates—there is no such effect for whites. For instance, in 1990, a one-standard-deviation increase in the dissimilarity index increases the Black imprisonment rate by 30 percent, amounting to about 167 additional Black civilians in prison.

Given the isolation from economic opportunity, the disinvestment in public resources, and the increase in non-white homicides (which, because of criminal justice reforms such as “truth in sentencing” laws over this period, was accompanied by an increase in time served) in racially segregated communities, this finding is not surprising. Prior research finds that the increase in incarceration rates during this period were largely due to changes in public policy rather than other common explanations such as changes in criminal behavior, deinstitutionalization of the mentally ill, or the crack-cocaine epidemic ([Raphael and Stoll, 2013](#); [Neal and Rick, 2016](#)). However, racial residential segregation likely leads to a bifurcation along racial lines of the public’s beliefs about the root causes of crime, especially urban crime: whites tend to believe that crime results from cultural deficits, while Blacks believe that crime is due to structural reasons (e.g., poor employment and educational opportunities) ([Piquero and Brame, 2008](#)). In addition, [Feigenberg and Miller \(2021\)](#) find that criminal justice systems are more punitive in more diverse communities or when there is more political competition along racial lines. [Hurwitz and Peffley \(2005\)](#) find that whites

prefer to use incarceration over social programming for crime committed by those in the “inner city” (i.e., Black). However, it is not clear if a more punitive criminal justice system is rooted solely in divergent views of the underlying causes of crime; [Forman Jr \(2017\)](#), provides anecdotal evidence in which Black-elected officials in majority Black municipalities chose more punitive approaches to combat violent crime.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we examine the relationship between residential racial segregation and violent crime using a causal inference approach. Overall, we find evidence that residential racial segregation leads to an increase in homicides of non-white residents. This is largely consistent with prior literature establishing a positive association between residential segregation and violent crime ([Peterson and Krivo, 1993](#); [Massey, 1995](#); [Shihadeh and Maume, 1997](#); [Bjerk, 2006](#)). While we find no effect of residential segregation on white homicides, it may be that racial residential segregation acts as an economic protectionist policy for white Americans, ensuring for them lower levels of economic uncertainty ([Massey, 1995](#); [Light and Thomas, 2019](#)). Nonetheless, we uncover a causal link between segregation and non-white homicide victimization, providing new evidence that the detrimental effects of segregation impose a high and lasting cost on Black lives.

Though our research is closely related to [Derenoncourt \(2021\)](#), a few key differences distinguish our analysis. First, the featured phenomenon driving our results is segregation, not Black migration. As shown in Table 3, we are able to isolate the effects of segregation from Black Migration patterns. Secondly, our analysis focuses on MSAs and central cities rather than commuting zones. In particular, our main spending results come from the central city sample, though we find similar results in the MSA sample. We find that the geographic unit of analysis is an important distinction, as a large share of Black migrants moved to city centers. Therefore, the division of neighborhoods in these locations matter for sorting: thus our emphasis on segregation.

In addition, our sample focuses on select northern cities for which we have the railroad division index. Although [Ananat and Washington \(2009\)](#) found similar OLS results for northern MSAs when including non-RDI locations,²⁶ our results lack external validity. Therefore, the reader

²⁶See Table 4 of [Ananat and Washington \(2009\)](#).

should interpret our results with this caveat in mind.²⁷ Nonetheless, we find deleterious effects of de facto segregation on communities of color. Segregation is strongly associated with higher homicide rates of non-white civilians and higher imprisonment rates. The lack of provision of public goods is a likely mechanism, reflected in less spending on public expenditures that deter crime such as public safety and education. Our results not only highlight the role of local institutions, but also complement findings by [Chyn et al. \(2021\)](#) linking segregation to intergenerational mobility, or the lack thereof.

Unfortunately, the rate of homicide victimization is still a concern for Black Americans. Likely channels for partially explaining elevated homicide rates are the loss in local revenue, depleted tax base, and decreased public expenditures associated with segregation, resulting in insufficient public goods. This is consistent with theories and research that find that racial residential segregation allows communities to be targets for disinvestment by governments and businesses ([Massey, 1995](#); [Alesina et al., 1999](#); [Ananat and Washington, 2009](#); [Ejdemyr et al., 2018](#); [Gordon, 2020](#)), which is reflected in fewer police officers, less spending on public safety, and lower school expenditures per pupil. Ultimately, segregation undermines pluralist politics through the formation of coalitions across racial lines, allowing politicians to make budget cuts in Black neighborhoods either because they expect minimal political fallout or to maintain the privileged status of white communities ([Krivo et al., 2009](#); [Ananat and Washington, 2009](#)).

Furthermore, segregation and white flight disincentivize collective action where all groups have a vested interest in fighting crime in Black communities ([Massey, 1995](#); [Shihadeh and Maume, 1997](#); [Krivo et al., 2009](#); [Trounstein, 2016](#)). [Massey \(1995\)](#) argues, “[i]f [B]lacks are segregated across municipal as well as neighborhood boundaries [W]hites minimize their exposure to crime and other social problems, but to a large extent they can also avoid paying the costs” (p. 1227). Thus, Blacks not only experience social and physical isolation, but also fiscal isolation. However, it would be ill-advised to recommend policies that only increase funding toward public safety. Residential segregation is multifaceted and a result of a deep-rooted and complex racial history where structural racism has trapped Black Americans in a permanent underclass. Therefore, targeted policies that improve socio-economic conditions and increase opportunities for upward mobility

²⁷For instance, [Bayer et al. \(2021\)](#) find higher levels of Black-white neighborhood inequality in northern and midwestern MSAs, the MSAs in our sample. It is plausible, that our findings may weaken in southern MSAs with lower levels of neighborhood inequality.

would have long-lasting and persistent effects, decreasing non-white homicides in the long-run.

References

- Alesina, A., R. Baqir, and W. Easterly (1999). Public goods and ethnic divisions. The Quarterly journal of economics 114(4), 1243–1284.
- Ananat, E. O. (2011). The wrong side (s) of the tracks: The causal effects of racial segregation on urban poverty and inequality. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics 3(2), 34–66.
- Ananat, E. O. and E. Washington (2009). Segregation and black political efficacy. Journal of Public Economics 93(5-6), 807–822.
- Andrews, R., M. Casey, B. L. Hardy, and T. D. Logan (2017). Location matters: Historical racial segregation and intergenerational mobility. Economics Letters 158, 67–72.
- Ba, B. A., D. Knox, J. Mummolo, and R. Rivera (2021). The role of officer race and gender in police-civilian interactions in chicago. Science 371(6530), 696–702.
- Barber, C., D. Azrael, A. Cohen, M. Miller, D. Thymes, D. E. Wang, and D. Hemenway (2016). Homicides by police: comparing counts from the national violent death reporting system, vital statistics, and supplementary homicide reports. American journal of public health 106(5), 922–927.
- Bayer, P., K. K. Charles, and J. Park (2021). Separate and unequal: Race and the geography of the american housing market.
- Bjerk, D. J. (2006). The effect of segregation on crime rates. In American Law & Economics Association Annual Meetings, pp. 13. bepress.
- Boustan, L., F. Ferreira, H. Winkler, and E. M. Zolt (2013). The effect of rising income inequality on taxation and public expenditures: Evidence from us municipalities and school districts, 1970–2000. Review of Economics and Statistics 95(4), 1291–1302.
- Boustan, L. P. (2010). Was postwar suburbanization “White flight”? Evidence from the Black migration. The Quarterly Journal of Economics 125(1), 417–443.
- Caron, C. (2021). Why are more black kids suicidal? a search for answers. The New York Times, A12.
- Chalfin, A., B. Hansen, E. K. Weisburst, M. C. Williams, et al. (2020). Police force size and civilian race. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Chetty, R., N. Hendren, P. Kline, and E. Saez (2014). Where is the land of opportunity? the geography of intergenerational mobility in the united states. The Quarterly Journal of Economics 129(4), 1553–1623.
- Chyn, E., K. Haggag, and B. Stuart (2021). The effects of racial segregation on intergenerational mobility: Evidence from historical railroad placement. Working Paper.
- Collaborators, G. . P. V. U. S. et al. (2021). Fatal police violence by race and state in the usa, 1980–2019: a network meta-regression. The Lancet 398(10307), 1239–1255.

- Cox, R., J. Cunningham, and A. Ortega (2021). The impact of affirmative action litigation on police killings of civilians. Working Paper.
- Cox, R. and J. P. Cunningham (2021). Financing the war on drugs: the impact of law enforcement grants on racial disparities in drug arrests. Journal of Policy Analysis and Management 40(1), 191–224.
- Cunningham, J., D. Feir, and R. Gillezeau (2021). Collective bargaining rights, policing, and civilian deaths.
- Cunningham, J. P. and R. Gillezeau (2019). Don't shoot! the impact of historical African American protest on police killings of civilians. Journal of Quantitative Criminology, 1–34.
- Cutler, D. M. and E. L. Glaeser (1997). Are ghettos good or bad? The Quarterly Journal of Economics 112(3), 827–872.
- Cutler, D. M., E. L. Glaeser, and J. L. Vigdor (1999). The rise and decline of the american ghetto. Journal of political economy 107(3), 455–506.
- Derenoncourt, E. (2021). Can you move to opportunity? Evidence from the Great Migration. Working Paper.
- Duncan, O. D. and B. Duncan (1955). A methodological analysis of segregation indexes. American sociological review 20(2), 210–217.
- Edwards, F., M. H. Esposito, and H. Lee (2018). Risk of police-involved death by race/ethnicity and place, united states, 2012–2018. American Journal of Public Health 108(9), 1241–1248. PMID: 30024797.
- Edwards, F., H. Lee, and M. Esposito (2019). Risk of being killed by police use of force in the United States by age, race–ethnicity, and sex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 116(34), 16793–16798.
- Ejdemyr, S., E. Kramon, and A. L. Robinson (2018). Segregation, ethnic favoritism, and the strategic targeting of local public goods. Comparative Political Studies (9), 1111 – 1143.
- Evans, W. N., C. Garthwaite, and T. J. Moore (2018). Guns and violence: The enduring impact of crack cocaine markets on young black males. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Evans, W. N. and M. H. Kotowski (2021). The persistence in gun violence among young black males. Working Paper.
- Feigenberg, B. and C. Miller (2021). Racial divisions and criminal justice: Evidence from southern state courts. American Economic Journal: Economic Policy 13(2), 207–40.
- Firebaugh, G. and F. Acciai (2016). For blacks in america, the gap in neighborhood poverty has declined faster than segregation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113(47), 13372–13377.
- Forman Jr, J. (2017). Locking up our own: Crime and punishment in Black America. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.


- Frey, W. H. (2018). Diversity explosion: How new racial demographics are remaking America. Brookings Institution Press.
- Glaeser, E. L. and J. L. Vigdor (2001). Racial segregation in the 2000 Census: Promising news. Citeseer.
- Gordon, D. (2020). The police as place-consolidators: The organizational amplification of urban inequality. Law & Social Inquiry 45(1), 1–27.
- Gray, A. C. and K. F. Parker (2020). Race and police killings: examining the links between racial threat and police shootings of black americans. Journal of Ethnicity in Criminal Justice 18(4), 315–340.
- Hinds, O., J. Kang-Brown, and O. Lu (2018). People in prison 2017.
- Hoekstra, M. and C. Sloan (2020). Does race matter for police use of force? Evidence from 911 calls. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Holz, J., R. Rivera, and B. A. Ba (2019). Spillover effects in police use of force. U of Penn, Inst for Law & Econ Research Paper (20-03).
- Hurwitz, J. and M. Peffley (2005). Playing the race card in the post–willie horton era: The impact of racialized code words on support for punitive crime policy. Public Opinion Quarterly 69(1), 99–112.
- Jackson, C. K. (2020). Does school spending matter? The new literature on an old question. American Psychological Association.
- Jacobs, D. (1998). The determinants of deadly force: A structural analysis of police violence. American journal of sociology 103(4), 837–862.
- Johnson, R. C. (2011). Long-run impacts of school desegregation & school quality on adult attainments. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Kang-Brown, J., O. Hinds, J. Heiss, and O. Lu (2018). The new dynamics of mass incarceration.
- Krieger, N., J. T. Chen, P. D. Waterman, M. V. Kiang, and J. Feldman (2015). Police killings and police deaths are public health data and can be counted. PLoS medicine 12(12), e1001915.
- Krivo, L. J., R. D. Peterson, and D. C. Kuhl (2009). Segregation, racial structure, and neighborhood violent crime. American journal of Sociology 114(6), 1765–1802.
- Light, M. T. and J. T. Thomas (2019). Segregation and violence reconsidered: Do whites benefit from residential segregation? American sociological review 84(4), 690–725.
- Lochner, L. (2020). Education and crime. In The economics of education, pp. 109–117. Elsevier.
- Loftin, C., B. Wiersema, D. McDowall, and A. Dobrin (2003). Underreporting of justifiable homicides committed by police officers in the United States, 1976–1998. American Journal of Public Health 93(7), 1117–1121.
- Logan, T. D. and J. M. Parman (2017). The national rise in residential segregation. The Journal of Economic History 77(1), 127–170.

- Massey, D. S. (1995). Getting away with murder: Segregation and violent crime in urban america, 143 u. Pa. L. Rev 1203, 1210–17.
- Massey, D. S. and N. A. Denton (1989). Hypersegregation in us metropolitan areas: Black and hispanic segregation along five dimensions. Demography 26(3), 373–391.
- Montiel Olea, J. L., B. O’Flaherty, and R. Sethi (2021). Empirical bayes counterfactuals in poisson regression. Available at SSRN 3857213.
- Neal, D. and A. Rick (2016). The prison boom and sentencing policy. The Journal of Legal Studies 45(1), 1–41.
- O’Flaherty, B. and R. Sethi (2007). Crime and segregation. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization 64(3-4), 391–405.
- O’Flaherty, B. and R. Sethi (2010). Homicide in black and white. Journal of Urban Economics 68(3), 215–230.
- Peterson, R. D. and L. J. Krivo (1993). Racial segregation and black urban homicide. Social Forces 71(4), 1001–1026.
- Piquero, A. R. and R. W. Brame (2008). Assessing the race–crime and ethnicity–crime relationship in a sample of serious adolescent delinquents. Crime & Delinquency 54(3), 390–422.
- Plant, E. A. and B. M. Peruche (2005). The consequences of race for police officers’ responses to criminal suspects. Psychological Science 16(3), 180–183.
- Raphael, S. and M. A. Stoll (2013). Why are so many Americans in prison? Russell Sage Foundation.
- Reardon, S. F. (2016). School segregation and racial academic achievement gaps. RSF: The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences 2(5), 34–57.
- Ross, C. T. (2015). A multi-level bayesian analysis of racial bias in police shootings at the county-level in the United States, 2011–2014. PloS one 10(11).
- Shertzer, A. and R. P. Walsh (2019). Racial sorting and the emergence of segregation in american cities. Review of Economics and Statistics 101(3), 415–427.
- Shihadeh, E. S. and M. O. Maume (1997). Segregation and crime: the relationship between black centralization and urban black homicide. Homicide Studies 1(3), 254–280.
- Stashko, A. and H. Garro (2021). Prosecutor elections and police accountability. Working Paper.
- Tabellini, M. (2020). Racial heterogeneity and local government finances: Evidence from the great migration.
- Trounstine, J. (2016). Segregation and inequality in public goods. American Journal of Political Science (3), 709 – 725.
- Weiner, D. A., B. F. Lutz, and J. Ludwig (2009). The effects of school desegregation on crime. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Weisburst, E. K. (2019). Police use of force as an extension of arrests: Examining disparities across civilian and officer race. In AEA Papers and Proceedings, Volume 109, pp. 152–56.

Wolfers, J., D. Leonhardt, and K. Quealy (2015). 1.5 million missing black men. The New York Times 20, A1.


Xiao, Y., J. Cerel, and J. J. Mann (2021). Temporal trends in suicidal ideation and attempts among us adolescents by sex and race/ethnicity, 1991-2019. JAMA network open 4(6), e2113513–e2113513.

Figure 1: Relationship Between Segregation, Crime, and RDI



Notes: Figure panels (a) - (d) show the relationship between crime and segregation in 1990. Panel (e) shows the relationship between RDI and the homicide rate in 1910. The slope of the line is 0.12 (0.25). Panel (f) plots the relationship between RDI and segregation in 1990. Segregation is measured by the index of dissimilarity.


Figure 2: Two-Stage Least Squares Results: Segregation, RDI, and Victimization




Notes: Figure plots regression estimates from a two-stage least squares analysis of the impact of segregation on crime and victimization by census year. Panel (a) plots estimates from the first-stage regression of the RDI on the index of dissimilarity by census year. Confidence intervals are constructed from heteroskedastic robust standard errors.

Figure 3: Two-Stage Least Squares Results: Segregation, Government Finances, and RDI

(a) Revenue Per Capita




(b) Expenditures Per Capita




Notes: Figure plots regression estimates from a two-stage least squares analysis of the impact of segregation on local government expenditures by census year. Confidence intervals are constructed from heteroskedastic robust standard errors.

Figure 4: Two-Stage Least Squares Results: Segregation, Arrests, and RDI


(a) Violent Crime Arrests




(b) Property Crime Arrests



(c) Drug Possession Arrests




(d) Drug Sales Arrests



Notes: Figure plots regression estimates from a two-stage least squares analysis of the impact of segregation on arrests by census year. Confidence intervals are constructed from heteroskedastic robust standard errors.

Figure 5: Two-Stage Least Squares Results: Segregation, Imprisonment, and RDI



Notes: Figure plots regression estimates from a two-stage least squares analysis of the impact of segregation on criminal-justice-related outcomes by census year. Confidence intervals are constructed from heteroskedastic robust standard errors.

Table 1: Summary Statistics by Decade

	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010
Index of Dissimilarity (County Sample)	0.71	0.59	0.58	0.50	0.45
Index of Dissimilarity (Central City Sample)	0.70	0.57	0.57	0.48	0.43
Homicide Victimization (per 1,000 residents)					
Non-white	0.22	0.19	0.17	0.10	0.12
White	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03
Suicides (per 1,000 residents)					
Non-white	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06
White	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.14
Police-Related Fatalities (per 100,000 residents)					
Non-white	0.48	0.81	0.27	0.09	0.22
White	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.08
Crime Per 1,000 Residents					
Total	50.50	89.00	102.74	76.54	64.97
Violent	0.96	2.50	3.08	2.95	2.99
Murder	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.09
Arrests Per 1,000 Residents					
Black	34.87	42.80	48.00	27.47	21.84
White	7.20	12.12	14.31	12.07	12.37
Violent Crime Arrests Per 1,000 Residents					
Black	8.42	12.09	14.77	9.96	8.55
White	0.82	2.20	3.16	3.74	3.82
Property Crime Arrests Per 1,000 Residents					
Black	26.46	30.71	33.23	17.51	13.29
White	6.37	9.92	11.15	8.33	8.55
Drug Possession Arrests Per 1,000 Residents					
Black		4.11	16.91	17.44	14.54
White		2.06	4.68	7.78	8.46
Drug Sales Arrests Per 1,000 Residents					
Black		0.84	8.85	6.82	4.84
White		0.45	1.90	1.80	1.56
Imprisonment Rates (per 1,000 residents)					
Black		1.38	3.07	3.48	2.92
White		0.25	0.48	0.62	0.63
Admission Rates (per 1,000 residents)					
Black		3.99	5.84	7.72	6.62
White		0.50	0.78	1.16	1.22
Employment Per 1,000 Residents					
Sworn Officers	1.73	2.05	2.08	2.33	2.22
Local Government Finances Per Capita					
General Expenditures	886.51	1,292.14	1,411.40	1,689.93	1,869.13
Property Tax Revenue	368.14	352.01	368.97	406.49	482.42
Police Expenditures	88.20	126.56	157.28	196.03	223.84
Fire Safety Expenditures	77.02	99.31	113.77	127.27	140.63
Number of MSAs in County Sample	110	110	110	110	110
Number of MSAs in Central City Sample	121	121	121	121	121

Note: Data comes from the Census of Population and Housing, the Census of Governments, Vital Statistics Multiple Cause of Deaths Files, Uniform Crime Report, the Vera Institute, and the Annual Survey of Governments. Imprisonment and admission rates means are calculated for 1983 instead of 1980 due to data availability.

Table 2: First Stage Results

	(1) First Stage	(2)
	1990 Index of Dissimilarity	1910 Homicide Rate
RDI	0.357*** [0.0878]	0.151 [0.245]
Track Length	18.51* [10.73]	-15.37** [6.894]
Observations	121	86
Mean DV	0.569	0.962
F-Stat	13.46	

Note: Robust standard errors in brackets. ***1%, **5%, and *10%.

Table 3: Robustness Check - 1990 Segregation and Characteristics

	(1) Homicides	(2)
	Non-white	White
Original	0.9764*** [0.2165]	-0.0105 [0.2162]
Population Controls	0.9526*** [0.2265]	-0.1137 [0.2031]
Education Controls	1.3883*** [0.3352]	0.4363 [0.3474]
Labor Force Participation	0.9435*** [0.1836]	0.0797 [0.2070]
Black Poverty Rate	1.0312*** [0.2439]	0.0578 [0.2317]
Black Gini Index	1.0266*** [0.3023]	0.0438 [0.3216]
All	1.2677** [0.5252]	0.5101 [0.5684]

Note: Units are standard deviations. Robust standard errors in brackets. ***1%, **5%, and *10%.


A Data Appendix

This paper relies on various data sources to estimate the effect of residential segregation on victimization, public safety, and related outcomes. Below we described the data used in the paper to obtain our results.

A.1 Dissimilarity Index

To measure desegregation, we rely on the commonly used dissimilarity index (Duncan and Duncan, 1955; Cutler and Glaeser, 1997). The index provides the share of the Black population that would need to move to other census tracts to integrate a city or MSA fully.²⁸ For 1990, we use the dissimilarity index from Cutler et al. (1999). To construct the dissimilarity index for the other census years, we rely on population counts from the Census of Population and Housing for the Census years between 1970 and 2010. We use the 1990 definition of MSAs in each census year to calculate the dissimilarity index. We derive the index from counties belonging to each MSA outside of New England. Since New England MSAs are comprised of cities and towns, we calculate the dissimilarity index using the census tracts in the cities or towns within each MSA. We impute the dissimilarity index for MSAs with insufficient or missing census tract data. Figure A1 plots the dissimilarity index for MSAs in the first and fourth quartile in 1970. The figure also plots the mean and median dissimilarity index over time. Figure A1 shows that segregation is decreasing for all MSAs and rules out the possibility of any one or few MSAs driving our findings.

Figure A1: Index of Dissimilarity Over Time



Notes: Figure plots the index of dissimilarity between 1970 and 2010.

²⁸For additional explanation and examples, see <https://www.census.gov/about-dissimilarity>

A.2 Railroad Division Index

To capture the plausible exogenous variation in our measure of segregation, we use the same railroad division index (RDI) from (Ananat and Washington, 2009). The RDI is similar to a Herfindahl-Hirschman Index and exploits the arrangement of railroad tracks that create subdivisions within a city. See Figure 1 in (Ananat and Washington, 2009) for two example cities of this natural experiment.

A.3 Vital Statistics Data

Our main measures of victimization are collected from the public-use (1970, 1980) and restricted-use (1990, 2000, 2010) Vital Statistics Multiple Cause of Death Files made available by the Center for Disease Control and Intervention National Vital Statistics System (NVSS).

A.3.1 Homicides

Our primary outcomes are homicides by race. We use the following ICD-10 codes in the NVSS: *U01-*U02, X85-Y09, Y87.1. For homicide deaths from 1970 to 1998, we use the following ICD-9 and ICD-8 codes: E950-E959. We focus our analysis on white and non-white homicides because the NVSS data does not collect detailed information on race or ethnicity in the earlier years. Figure A2 plots white and non-white homicide rates over time and shows that homicides have decreased between 1970 and 2010. Although the trends are similar, the vertical axes show that non-white homicides have often been three to seven times higher than white homicides.

Figure A2: Homicides Over Time




Notes: Figure plots number of homicides per 100,000 residents between 1970 and 2010 for white and non-white individuals using data from the National Vital Statistics System.

A.3.2 Police Killings of Civilians

Our analysis also considers deaths due to legal intervention (excluding execution) as a proxy for police killings of civilians. For 1999 to 2016, we used the following ICD-10 codes to classify police-related deaths: Y35.0-Y35.4, Y35.6, Y35.7, and Y89.0. From 1970 to 1998, we used the following ICD-9 and ICD-8 codes: E970-E977. Unfortunately, it has been documented that the NVSS data on police killings underreports the actual number of deaths at the hands of police ([Collaborators et al., 2021](#)). Recently, crowd-sourced data, such as [Fatal Encounters](#), that capture police-related fatalities have become available. However, this data collection effort starts well after the beginning of our sample period, and information on the victims' race for earlier years is not as reliable. Despite the underreporting, Figure A3 shows that between 2000 and 2016, NVSS does reasonably well at capturing national trends in police-related fatalities as estimated by Fatal Encounters.

Figure A3: Police Killings: Vital Statistics vs. Fatal Encounters



Notes: Figure plots the average number of police-related fatalities in a county between 2000 and 2016 using data from the National Vital Statistics System and Fatal Encounters, respectively.

A.3.3 Suicide

Given that there are no direct linkages between suicides and segregation, we consider the effect of segregation on suicides as a possible falsification test. For suicide deaths from 1999 and 2010, we use the following ICD-10 codes in the NVSS: X60-X84, Y870, U030. For suicide deaths from 1970 to 1998, we use the following ICD-9 and ICD-8 codes: E950-E959.

A.3.4 Uniform Crime Reporting Data

To complement the NVSS homicide data, we also collect data on crime, arrests, and police employment from the publicly available [Uniform Crime Reporting](#) (UCR) Program data provided by the FBI and housed by ICPSR.

A.3.5 Crime and Arrests

We collect crime and arrest data from the UCR's "Offenses Known and Clearance by Arrest". We consider the number of violent offenses (murder and manslaughter, rape, robbery, and assault) and the number of homicides reported (murder and manslaughter). We also examine the effect of segregation on arrests for property and drug crimes. These measures serve as a proxy for police contact and an MSA's ability to devote resources to criminal activity.

A.3.6 Police Employment

The annual number of civilian officers and sworn officers is collected from UCR's Law Enforcement Officers Killed or Assaulted (LEOKA). UCR's LEOKA is publicly available at the ICPSR website for years after 1974. For the years 1960 to 1974, data are manually entered from hard copies of UCR LEOKA reports.

A.4 Local Government Finances


As a possible mechanism for the effect of segregation on homicide victimization, we consider local government revenues and expenditures as an outcome. Data on local government operations, revenue, and expenditures are from the [Census of Governments and the Annual Survey of Governments](#) from ICPSR. Our analysis focuses on total revenue, property tax revenue, intergovernmental transfers, and public safety expenditures (police and fire). School district data come from the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) national school finance survey. There are roughly 2,500 districts that we map to MSAs in our sample. We compute measures for median per-pupil spending for the median school district in an MSA.

A.5 Demographic Information


MSA demographics are from County and City Data Books. Data on population counts and demographic information are from the decennial census conducted at the beginning of each decade. Population counts are collected from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) data.

Figure B1: Homicides and RDI by Index of Dissimilarity Quartiles


(a) Non-White Homicides




(b) White Homicides



(c) All Homicides




(d) RDI




Notes: Figure plots homicide rates by race and railroad division index for the top and bottom quartile by census year— panels (a) and (b) plot non-white and white homicides per 1,000 individuals, respectively. Panel (c) plots all homicides per 1,000 individuals. Panel (d) Plots the railroad division index.

Figure B2: Homicides and RDI by Index of Dissimilarity Deciles




Notes: Figure plots homicides and railroad division index by decile. Panels (a)-(e) plots non-white homicides per 1,000 individuals for census years between 1970-2010, Panels (f)-(j) plots non-white homicides per 1,000 individuals for census years between 1970-2010, and panels (k)-(o) plots the railroad division index for census years between 1970-2010.

Figure B3: Two-Stage Least Squares Results: Segregation, Racial Differential, and RDI



Notes: Figure plots regression estimates from a two-stage least squares analysis for the impact of segregation on the racial homicide differential by census year. The confidence intervals are constructed from heteroskedastic robust standard errors. To estimate the racial differential in homicide we estimate the following equation $Y_{i,r} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Black_r + \beta_1 \widehat{D}_i + \beta_1 (D_i \times \widehat{Black}_r) + \beta_2 X_{i,r} + \eta_i$. The binary variable, $Black_r$, is equal to one when referencing non-White homicides and zero for White homicides. We instrument for D_i using the RDI_i and $(D_i \times Black_r)$ with $RDI_i \times Black_r$.

Figure B4: Two-Stage Least Squares Results: Segregation, Suicides, and RDI




Notes: Figure plots regression estimates from a two-stage least squares analysis for the impact of segregation on suicide by race and census year, see equation (5). Confidence intervals are constructed from heteroskedastic robust standard errors.

Figure B5: Relationship Between Black Share of the Population, Segregation, and RDI




Notes: Panel (a) plots the relationship between the 1990 dissimilarity index and the share of individuals in an MSA that is Black. Panel (b) plots the relationship between the railroad division index and the 1990 Black share of individuals in an MSA.

Figure B6: Two-Stage Least Squares Results: Other Cities in MSA vs Central City




Notes: Figure plots regression estimates from a two-stage least squares analysis for the impact of segregation on violent crime (panel (a)) and murder (panel (b)) by census year, see equation (5). The estimates plotted are for either the central city within an MSA or the surrounding towns. Confidence intervals are constructed from heteroskedastic robust standard errors.

Figure B7: Two-Stage Least Squares Results: MSA vs Central City




Notes: Figure plots regression estimates from a two-stage least squares analysis for the impact of segregation on violent crime (panel (a)), murder (panel (b)), number of sworn officers (panel (c)), and police expenditures (panel (d)) by census year, see equation (5). The estimates plotted are for either the central city within an MSA or the entire MSA, including the central city. Confidence intervals are constructed from heteroskedastic robust standard errors.

Figure B8: Two-Stage Least Squares Results: Segregation, Spending Shares, and RDI



Notes: Figure plots regression estimates from a two-stage least squares analysis for the impact of segregation on the share of public safety expenditures by census year, see equation (5). Confidence intervals are constructed from heteroskedastic robust standard errors.

Figure B9: Two-Stage Least Squares Results: Homicides Excluding New England MSAs



Notes: Figure plots regression estimates from a two-stage least squares analysis for the impact of segregation on homicides by race and census year, see equation (5). The plotted estimates exclude MSAs in the New England. Confidence intervals are constructed from heteroskedastic robust standard errors.

Table C1: Testing RDI as an Instrument

	(1) First Stage	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
		Falsification Checks					
		1910 Characteristics					
Outcome:	1990 Index of Dissimilarity	Physical Area	Population	Ethnic Dissimilarity Index	Ethnic Isolation Index	Percent Black	Street Cars Per Capita
RDI	0.357*** [0.0878]	-3,993 [11,986]	665.8 [1,363]	0.0765 [0.185]	0.0267 [0.0702]	-0.000633 [0.00998]	-132.1 [183.2]
Track Length	18.51* [10.73]	-574,401 [553,669]	75,553 [134,815]	15.34 [53.25]	-12.44 [17.29]	9.236*** [0.650]	3,361 [20,507]
Observations	121	58	121	49	49	121	13
Mean DV	0.569	14626	1527	0.311	0.0554	0.0144	179
		Falsification Checks					
		1920 Characteristics					
		Percent of Employment in:					
Outcome:	Percent Black	Percent Literate	Labor Force Participation	Trade	Manufacturing	Railroads	1990 Income Segregation Index
RDI	0.0132 [0.00905]	0.0526* [0.0303]	0.0284 [0.0240]	-0.0803 [0.0937]	0.191 [0.137]	-0.0738 [0.0681]	0.0323 [0.0322]
Track Length	9.119*** [0.615]	0.180 [0.880]	-3.427** [1.500]	-0.152 [2.910]	18.40* [10.91]	1.592 [2.428]	-2.504 [1.626]
Observations	121	121	121	121	121	121	69
Mean DV	0.0156	0.959	0.419	0.0577	0.462	0.00316	0.217

Note: This table replicates Table 1 from [Ananat \(2011\)](#). The table regresses RDI and railroad track length on 1910 and 1920 demographic and MSA characteristics. Column (1) reports the first stage estimate that regresses RDI on the 1990 dissimilarity index, see equation (4). Robust standard errors in brackets. ***1%, **5%, and *10%.

Table C2: Relationship Between Segregation and Non-White Homicides

DV: Non-White Homicides	(1) 1970	(2) 1980	(3) 1990	(4) 2000	(5) 2010
Panel (a): OLS					
Dissimilarity Index	0.470*** [0.155]	0.637*** [0.0915]	0.628*** [0.0966]	0.304*** [0.0506]	0.373*** [0.0626]
Panel (b): 2SLS					
Dissimilarity Index	1.496** [0.614]	1.119*** [0.252]	1.069*** [0.237]	0.401*** [0.118]	0.309** [0.126]
Observations	110	110	110	110	110
Mean DV	0.225	0.195	0.175	0.100	0.119

Note: The table reports regression estimates for the impact of segregation on non-white homicides by census year. Panel (a) reports the OLS estimates, see equation (3). Panel (b) reports the two-stage least squares estimates, see equation (5) Robust standard errors in brackets. ***1%, **5%, and *10%.

Table C3: Relationship Between Segregation and White Homicides

DV: White Homicides	(1) 1970	(2) 1980	(3) 1990	(4) 2000	(5) 2010
Panel (a): OLS					
Dissimilarity Index	0.0322** [0.0142]	-0.00232 [0.0185]	-0.0428** [0.0190]	-0.00895 [0.00982]	-0.0328*** [0.0116]
Panel (b): 2SLS					
Dissimilarity Index	-0.0453 [0.0543]	-0.0451 [0.0479]	-0.00217 [0.0445]	0.00330 [0.0235]	-0.0492 [0.0357]
Observations	110	110	110	110	110
Mean DV	0.0287	0.0458	0.0377	0.0268	0.0273

Note: The table reports regression estimates for the impact of segregation on white homicides by census year. Panel (a) reports the OLS estimates, see equation (3). Panel (b) reports the two-stage least squares estimates, see equation (5) Robust standard errors in brackets. ***1%, **5%, and *10%.

Table C4: Relationship Between Black Share of Population, Segregation, and RDI

	(1) Share Black	(2) Share Black	(3) Index of Dissimilarity	(4) Index of Dissimilarity
RDI	0.249*** [0.0838]	-0.0228 [0.0761]	0.357*** [0.0878]	0.240*** [0.0691]
Track Length	39.82*** [9.784]	27.23*** [3.843]	18.51* [10.73]	-5.194 [5.577]
Index of Dissimilarity		0.709*** [0.0994]		
Share Black				0.576*** [0.0584]
Mean DV	0.144	0.144	0.569	0.569

Note: The table reports estimates from the relationship between black share of MSA population, segregation, and RDI. Robust standard errors in brackets. ***1%, **5%, and *10%.

Table C5: Robustness Check - Specification Check: Police-Related Fatalities

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Non-White Police-Related Fatalities				
	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010
Panel A: Two-Stage Least Squares					
Mortality Rate (Original)	-2.226 [2.918]	3.708*** [1.345]	1.146 [1.323]	0.912 [0.646]	-0.104 [0.767]
Count	4.013 [6.059]	4.515 [2.735]	2.095 [1.887]	2.127 [1.965]	0.300 [2.157]
Poisson Count	-4.247 [21.50]	14.72 [12.67]	7.276 [11.81]	3.512 [11.30]	0.150 [9.097]
Fatal Encounters (Rate)				0.318 [1.457]	-0.594 [1.355]
Panel B: No Instrument					
Mortality Rate	-0.572 [1.344]	1.379* [0.728]	-0.185 [0.521]	0.758*** [0.246]	-0.173 [0.358]
Poisson (Count)	11.76*** [3.685]	16.86*** [3.792]	3.802 [2.439]	8.111*** [2.629]	1.476 [2.132]
Number of MSAs	99	99	99	99	99

Note: The table reports regression estimates for the impact of segregation on non-white police-related fatalities by census year. Panel (a) reports the two-stage least squares estimates, see equation (5). Panel (b) reports the OLS estimates, see equation (3). Robust standard errors in brackets. ***1%, **5%, and *10%.

Table C6: Relationship Between Segregation and School Spending

	(1) 1980	(2) 1990	(3) 2000	(4) 2010
A.Weighted				
Dissimilarity Index	-33.748*** [0.100]	-32.426*** [0.097]	-13.127*** [0.111]	-41.520*** [0.171]
B.Unweighted				
Dissimilarity Index	-63.318*** [23.128]	-75.568 [40.621]	-54.191 [36.912]	-134.70* [66.478]
Observations	86	102	102	102

Note: The table reports the two-stage least squares estimates for the impact of segregation on median per-pupil expenditure by census year, see equation (5). Regressions in panel A are weighted by student enrollment and estimates in panel B are unweighted. Robust standard errors in brackets. ***1%, **5%, and *10%.